NORTH BERWICK PLANNING BOARD MINUTES OF PLANNING BOARD DECEMBER 10, 2020

Present: Chairman Geoffrey Aleva, Anne Whitten, Jon Morse, Matthew Qualls, Scott Strynar, Mark Cahoon, David Ballard came in 6:35 pm

Also Present: Lee Jay Feldman from SMPDC, Gil Paquette, Joe Carr, Andy Morrell, Sean Murphy, Jean Friend, Lulu (?), Greg Lucini, Jesse (?)

1. Call To Order:

Chairman Aleva called the meeting to order at 6:30 pm.

2. Review Previous Minutes:

Scott Strynar motioned to approve the minutes of November 11, 2020 as written. Jon Morse seconded the motion. VOTE: 5-0

3. Current Business:

3.1 Continued Review

Gold Mark LLC & ISM Solar Quarry, LLC

486 High Street (Map 004 Lot 89 & 92)

Continued Review: The Applicant is proposing an approximately 7-megawatt (MW) DC, photovoltaic solar energy generation facility to be sited at 486 High Street. The Project is anticipated to occupy approximately 46 acres of this land, and will include ground mounted photovoltaic panels, access roads, small electrical equipment pads, and fencing. The property is identified as Lot 89 & Lot 92 on the Town of North Berwick Assessor's Map 4.

Chairman Aleva asked Roger Frechette and Lee Jay Feldman if they had any comments to make regarding the project. Roger stated that he did not have anything. Lee Jay Feldman stated that the information that the applicant provided to the Board on December 7th are in response to the memo that he had done on November 30th. On his memo he had raised some additional questions on the site redesign which he can go through with them now.

Lee Jay Feldman stated that he had 6 points that he had raised. They are as follows:

1. It appears that there will be solar panels within the setback of the wetland on the edge of the property. The setback does not allow anything within that area. I would suggest the applicant eliminate panels intruding into this area. That wetland has also been determined to be a Vernal Pool if I recall. It was a matter of it being a significant vernal pool or a non-significant vernal

pool. The board discussed this with the applicant at the last meeting however I do not recall any resolution to this issue. As per your review agent, I would urge that the applicant stay away from impacting this location.

2. It also appears that they are planning additional excavation in that setback as it is colored brown. The setback does not allow for excavation within the setback. Site design adjustments should be made accordingly.

3. It also appears that they want to perform selective cutting within the setback. Has the Planning Board addressed this? I do recall some discussion on this, but I do not recall a resolution. I thought that additional shading information was needed but I may be wrong. The board will still need to make a decision on how they want to handle this.

4. It is planned to have additional excavation along the edge of the gravel extraction project by the solar company. It appears that these slopes will be very steep. Has the Planning Board addressed this issue? Final grades on gravel extraction operations are not to exceed 3 to 1 unless a 4 foot high fence is erected. The plan does show a 3' tall berm with landscaping along the High Street properties. The plan, however, does not have any details on the design or materials to be used.

Mr. Feldman stated that his point regarding this is that they have the plan that is showing this area but there is no specific design information as to planting materials, berm design or slopes for the berm at this point in time.

5. It is also planned to have additional clearing along property lines. Has the Planning Board discussed this as it relates to keeping a buffer between the operation and the neighboring properties?

6. I do recall at one point some of the discussion surrounded an ongoing mining operation while allowing another use to occur on the property simultaneously. The mining operation has a license from Maine DEP. The applicant should provide a written opinion to the town from DEP on allowance of both these operations occurring together.

Mr. Feldman stated that these were his questions regarding the last meeting they had which was quite a while back. He also had not been on the site walk so he is not sure if any of these issues were addressed at that time. He said that the applicant can probably respond to these now.

Greg Lucini, the CEO of ISM Solar Development stepped forward to discuss the project. He would like to turn it over to Gil Paquette who is the Managing Director of the VHB office in Portland. He stated that Mr. Paquette has reviewed the comments and has responded to the issues that Mr. Feldman has brought up. Mr. Lucini said that they have done a lot of work since the last meeting and site walk and believes that they can answer every one of the questions that were raised.

Gil Paquette started going through and responding to each of the 6 issues that Mr. Feldman has brought up. Here are his responses:

1. The first issue is regarding whether this wetland was a vernal pool. He stated that there is a statutory distinction between a significant and non-significant vernal pool. Mr. Paquette stated that his one is not a vernal pool at all. There was an earlier study that was done showing this and VHB looked at this area when they were doing their field survey last year. There is no standing water or no egg masses, so it is not a vernal pool. He stated that it is a wetland.

Chairman Aleva asked Mr. Paquette if he had a report showing this. Chairman Aleva said that if you look at the DEP website the data points that you can add, through the Google Earth indicates that this is a non-significant vernal pool. Mr. Paquette stated that he thinks the way it was documented by the previous consultant is erroneous and they have the information that they collected from their field survey. Chairman Aleva asked if the new information has been turned in to DEP for clarification. Mr. Paquette said that it has not. He said that it is not a vernal pool so how do you document the negative. He stated that they can do that if needed. He said that they did meet with IFW out there to look at this area because there was a question of the potential of a blanding turtles' habitat. They found that it was not. Mr. Paquette stated that they would like to see that.

Chairman Aleva stated that there is still interest to protect the buffer around the wetland and limit the grading and cutting in the area within the setback of that wetland itself. He stated that there is support to protect it on the 4 sides like it is on the back side. They want it to be left as undisturbed as much as possible. Mr. Paquette stated that, with a mining permit, there is a 75-foot buffer around wetlands. Since they are transitioning from a mining permit to a solar array permit that will be under site law, the thought was that they would encroach upon that buffer to make sure that they don't have shade trees that are impacting the output of the arrays. The intent was first, to talk to the DEP and understand what their restrictions are. They really don't have any up to the wetland edge. The DEP has provided them a couple of options. One would be to file a simple Permit By Rule if we are not within 25 feet of the wetland's edge and then they could do the work that needs to be done for this project. If they go up to the wetland's edge, they would need a Tier 1 permit. The second option would be to disturb the wetland in its entirety, but this is not an option that ISM is suggesting at this time. It is just the buffer and the shade trees in that buffer that would affect the output of the project.

Greg Lucini stated that the important thing to consider is that this is transitioning from a mining permit to a different type of permit that will have a site law approval. If the mining wasn't there, they could have the option to fill it and build right over it because it is so small. They are not proposing that. They are proposing that they will build up to it and not fill it, but they will protect it. They have filed for a permit from the State for them to do that and they are confident that it will be issued to them. Mr. Lucini stated it is just this little area in the middle of nowhere and the habitat value is very minimal. The State Law allows them to build up to the edge of it

with the type of permit that they want to file for. He stated that when they looked into it further, they questioned if they had to build up to the edge of the whole thing. He said that they did not. The whole thing is about shade. He said that it is about taking some of the trees in the area out to solve the shade issue while still protecting the wetland that is there.

Chairman Aleva asked what permit they applied for with the DEP. He asked if it was a PBR to work in the 75 to 25 foot section or is it an NRPA permit to go right to the edge of the wetland. Gil Paquette stated that they have not filed the application yet. He said that they have been going back and forth between the DEP and the town and want to get a better understanding of what the town would allow. They will then move forward with a filing with the DEP. What they have done with the DEP is to make sure that whatever they decide to file will be permitted.

David Ballard wanted to clarify. He asked if they were going up to 25 feet from the edge of the wetland. Chairman Aleva said that they would probably file for a full permit because they want to selectively cut the trees to prevent shading. Mr. Lucini stated that they have 3 options. They can stay away from the 25 feet buffer and not touch it. They prefer not to do that because of the implications of shade. They would lose a lot more panels because of the trees in that area. The second option is to cut and build to the edge of the wetland and also cut the taller trees in that area. They would not clear cut it or take out the shrub vegetation, but they would take the tall trees out. The third option is to fill it and build over it, but they are not proposing this option.

Chairman Aleva stated that the Town of North Berwick does not have a Conservation Commission, so the review of wetland setbacks comes back to the Planning Board to review. Scott Strynar stated that when he looks at the wetland, it is located on the northern end of the site and he is not sure how much shading would be an issue. They have gone out to the site and there is some vegetation out there. However, it does not seem that excessive. Greg Lucini stated that, what is surrounding this area now is basically just dirt with no organic matter in it. There is nothing going on there at all. It has been clear cut and it is just dirt that has been mined down. He said that when they pull out of the area there will be a meadow. That meadow will provide a significantly better habitat all around the wetland than what is there right now. This will provide the opportunity for snakes and other small creatures to be able to move throughout the array and through the meadow. Scott Strynar agreed and said that the wetland is important in this area. He said that when this site does get revegetated, it will become more important because there will be nothing around it. Chairman Aleva stated that this area has been an active gravel pit around this area for many years. This wetland area has stayed pretty consistent and pretty protected. It is his opinion to protect that area as much as possible and use it to be a draw when it comes to converting the gravel pit area into a solar array meadow and to take advantage of that.

Mr. Strynar stated that when he looks at the mass of the solar field, this area is just about one fifth of the whole project. To disturb the wetland for such a small part of the project is an issue for him. Mr. Lucini stated that this is why he wants to talk about the impact of shade. He said that it is much bigger than what Mr. Strynar is saying it is. He said that they need a 3 to 1

distance from the trees to the solar panels. If you have the berm plus the trees that is 60 feet, their nearest panel has to be 180 feet away. There would be a huge loss of panel area if they can not touch the entire circle.

Matthew Qualls stated that he would like to discuss something that they have discussed in other projects. He said that the applicants would come in and reduce the height of the trees that are too tall, and they don't really disturb much of the property except taking down some of the taller trees. He asked what they had done with the past solar project where shade was an issue. He remembers that they gave permission for them to cut off some of the taller trees around the edges. Chairman Aleva stated that the applicant had come forward and provided them a profile that showed the area with the line of sight and the distances. It indicated the height of the trees that needed to be cut and the ones that could stay. There was also a condition in the approval that they would have to notify the COE whenever they wanted to cut more trees. The COE would have to review and approve before the cutting could occur. Chairman Aleva said that this is probably something they should look at for this project as well.

Anne Whitten stated that the applicant had stated that the 3rd option was that they would be able to go in and fill it all up and get the permit if he wanted to. She stated that the applicant made this comment several times. She asked why he was talking to the Planning Board if they were able to just do what they wanted to anyway. Chairman Aleva stated that there is still a local portion of the process and the town can have some say in respect to that permit. Lee Jay Feldman stated that while it's okay to get a permit from DEP to do certain things, there is still local control. The Planning Board has final say over what is going to happen. Gil Paquette stated that just because DEP said that they would permit it, it doesn't mean that the town will allow it. He said that it is just a step in their process to see what is allowed and what their options are that they can propose to the town.

Greg Lucini stated that the issue that the Board has stated is that this wetland will become even more important when the array has a meadow underneath it. He stated that they have considered all of the concerns and it is not lost on the design that they have before them. He stated that they need to take notice of where they are shading. They are shading the south, east, and west and the area that is being cut is predominantly in the north. This area to the north will provide a way for water to flow into it from the meadow and make it easier for small animals to crawl into it from the meadow. He stated that if the area is left as it is now, no water will flow into it. It would only be ground water and that is why it is primarily dry all of the time. If they are able to pitch it so that some of the terrain flows in that direction, they can help to recharge that wetland with runoff coming from the meadow into the wetland and making it a more viable habitat. They did provide a way for the smaller animals to still access it while still shading it from the south, east, and west. Mr. Lucini stated that they are trying to be responsible in what they are doing in the area.

Scott Strynar thanked Mr. Lucini for sharing that information because he said that he hadn't noticed that. It is a good point that the water will flow in that direction. Mr. Strynar stated that

when they did their site walk, they had talked about doing some sections in a couple different areas. He was wondering if that had been completed yet. He said it would give the Board a better understanding of the lay of the land. It is a large area that is being manipulated for screening to the neighbors, water flow and the top of the donut around the wetland. He thinks that some of these things would be useful, graphically, for the Board members to get a sense of how the ground is being manipulated. Greg Lucini stated that they held off on doing that because they had a lot of things up in the air after the site walk. The biggest thing was to figure out how to transition from mining to solar. The other issue was what they were going to do about the donut. They wanted to talk about all of these things with the Board first before coming back with a full landscape plan.

Mark Cahoon asked what changed from years ago when we originally permitted for the mining. He said that it was a vernal pool then so what changed from it being a vernal pool now. Chairman Aleva stated that they will be providing information on this area to show that it is different. They have completed studies to show it.

Jon Morse agreed that they need to supply some sort of grading plan for that area. Greg Lucini said that they will come back with something that shows what the topography will look like, what the plants will look like and they will show them on the plan how the grading will allow the surface water to drain towards the wetland. Mr. Lucini stated that Inland Fish & Wildlife came out to look at the site to make sure there was no snake or turtle habitat and there were none. Chairman Aleva asked if they had received something in writing regarding this. Gil Paquette stated that he has requested a letter from IFW, but he has not received it yet.

Chairman Aleva stated that another question was regarding the side slopes and making sure that they met the Zoning Ordinance for the 3 to 1 side slope. He asked if this is what the applicant showed on their plan. Mr. Lucini stated that it was. He said that if they look at the plan, almost the whole entire amount of the array is laid out, so it stays within the existing mining permit area, especially to the south. They could have gone further south because the setback for the mining is much greater. They elected to not do that and create a bigger buffer on that whole edge and do less site work out there. When Mark O'Brien is done, they will just have to come in and build. They don't have to do any additional excavation beyond what was permitted for the mining operation. If you look at the area to the south, this is where they abut the mining that continues. Mr. O'Brien will leave them with an appropriate slope as he continues to mine and pull back. Chairman Aleva asked if they will be providing a grading plan that shows what will be going on in that space with the final build out. He said that the Board will want to see this with respect to where that fits with the water table coming through and how they will be accessing into that mining area. Mr. Lucini stated that this was not part of their project and it does not extend into the space that Chairman Aleva is talking about. The mining permit continues so Mark will do what he usually does and be responsible to the Board and DEP to follow through with his obligations under his mining permit. Chairman Aleva stated that, as a final build out phase or whenever the mining is done, the Board would like something to show how the two uses will be

meshed together. Lee Jay Feldman stated that if the applicant can get a copy of whatever Mark had been permitted for from DEP or what the final grading will be for the gravel pit, that will verify the situation relevant to what they are going to do or not going to do within that. Mr. Lucini stated that they will get a copy of that and submit it to the Board.

Mr. Lucini continued to review the plan with the Board and show where they will be cutting trees, where the setbacks are going to be and where they will be planting new trees. Chairman Aleva stated that he would like to have the same requirement that the had with the other solar project. They need to show what they will want for a max tree height so that there is some guidance on how much will need to be cut in that area. Mr. Lucini stated that they can provide this. He stated that they are also providing a small berm and vegetation if needed in the areas that they think there is a potential for the abutters to look down and see the solar array. They have identified 2 areas that it will do that. The first area is where the 3 lots are together. Mr. Lucini stated that he thinks that one of these abutters has already expressed their concerns regarding this issue. They will provide some screening in that area and they can provide a landscape plan to show what that will look like. Mr. Lucini stated that he would be more than willing to meet with any of the abutters and answer any questions that they may have about the project.

Chairman Aleva asked what they do in the meadow itself. Do they put a sandy loam down and how thick is it between the rows? Is it going to be a gravel driveway to get through the rows for access? Mr. Lucini said that what they are doing extensively now in terms of ground cover in southern New England and Rhode Island is that they are using an organic spray. The organic spray goes on thick and heavy and it creates and organic matter that has been tailored to the site. They will measure what is in the soil that is already there, and they will put the right type materials together. It will help activate the area and create a medium for the meadow to grow. Then they come in with a second spray that is the typical seed mix that is designed with what they want to achieve in this area. The benefit is that they don't have to bring in a lot of truck for the loam. It would require a lot of truck loads because of the amount of loam that would be needed. Lee Jay asked if the spray was done after the array has been set. Mr. Lucini said that it will be after the arrays are set.

David Ballard asked if there were any concerns because it will be in both Farm & Forest and Residential. Chairman Aleva stated that they were good as far as any Zoning requirements. He said that their main concerns are to look at screening from abutters. Scott Strynar asked if the selective clearing of trees along Route 4 will let people see the array from the road. Chairman Aleva stated that this is something that they will be able to see more about when the applicant comes back with a more detailed plan. Mr. Lucini stated that they can go out and flag some trees along Route 4 and give the Board an idea of where they would be cutting. He said that there is quite a distance from the road to the array and he doesn't think that it will be an issue. Jon Morse asked if he knew what the elevation of Route 4 was. Mr. Lucini did not know. Chairman

Aleva showed them on the plan that it looked like for height. Mr. Lucini said that the arrays are less than 12 feet and the follow the sun. It looks like they will be lower than Route 4 even when they are at their tallest point.

Mr. Lucini stated that they will pull the information together and would like to come back at a January meeting. They are hoping to get approval at this time.

Jon Morse motioned to table the review of the application for Gold Mark LLC & ISM Solar Quarry, LLC at 486 High Street (Map 004 Lots 89 & 92) until all of the paperwork has been submitted to the town. Anne Whitten seconded the motion. VOTE: 5-0

 3.2 Country Estates Mobile Home Park High Street (Map 04 Lots 047-22, 047-23 and 047-24) Request: Applicant is proposing a lot line adjustment on the lots to allow a more desirable placement of structures on the lots. No street or exterior lot lines are being revised.

Chairman Aleva recused himself from this project because the plans were prepared by Civil Consultants and he is employed by them. Vice Chairman Matthew Qualls will be the Acting Chairman for this project. Chairman Aleva moved Mark Cahoon up to full voting status.

Joe Carr stepped forward to discuss the project. Joe Carr is the owner of Country Estates. He is requesting to adjust the property lines between Lots 22 and 23 and the one between Lots 23 and 24. They would like to adjust it to make it more desirable for people who want a larger home. He stated that he has requested for other lots to be adjusted in the past and have had no issues. All the setbacks meet the zoning requirements.

Geoffrey Aleva said that they need to accept the application, make a motion to set an escrow so it can be reviewed by Town staff, decide if they need to do a site walk and then set a Public Hearing.

Anne Whitten motioned to accept the application for Country Estates Mobile Home Park for the proposal of lot line adjustments. Jon Morse seconded the motion. VOTE: 5-0

Joe Carr stated that the last time he came before the Board for lot line adjustments, he didn't have to do a site walk, escrow, or Public Hearing. He came in for one meeting and that was it.

Acting Chairman Qualls asked if there was any impact for areas around it the lot changes. Geoffrey Aleva stated that it has no impact because it doesn't create additional lots or anything. Scott Strynar asked if they were all vacant lots and Mr. Carr said that they were. David Ballard stated that the application says that there is a water line extension cost but the cost hasn't been determined. He asked if this was a cost to the town or a cost to the applicant. Geoffrey Aleva said that it was a cost to the developer. Jon Morse motioned that there was no need to have a Public Hearing on this project. Mark Cahoon seconded the motion. VOTE: 5-0

Anne Whitten stated that she remembers something about the development having a turnaround. She asked if the lots were anywhere near the turnaround. Joe Car said that the road goes all around now so there is no turnaround. Roger Frechette said that they had to have the turnaround for emergency vehicles while they were completing the projects. Now that it is all around, he doesn't need the turnaround.

Jon motioned to approve the revised plan for Country Estates Mobile Home Park located on High Street to adjust the lot lines for Map 04 Lots 047-22, 047-23 and 047-24. Mark Cahoon seconded the motion. VOTE: 5-0

Chairman Aleva took over as Chairman for the rest of the meeting. Mark Cahoon was moved back to be an Alternate member

3.3 Joel & Katy Littlefield

722 Lebanon Road (Map 005 Lot 039) Continued Review: Applicant proposes an amendment to their existing subdivision by combining (map 005 lot 039) and (map 005 lot 039-2) together and also create an outside sale lot.

Chairman Aleva stated that they had the Public Hearing at the last meeting and asked them to come back with answers to the questions and a waiver request. He said that they have provided updated information. There is also a draft Findings of Fact that Lee Jay has prepared for the Board to review. Chairman Aleva asked Lee Jay to state any comments that he had regarding the project.

Lee Jay Feldman stated that the applicant has provided all of the information that was requested from the Board from the last meeting including some photos of the site because of the 24" tree waiver request. They have provided a second waiver request that pertains to the need for open space and recreational land because of the total acres involved in the property. The plans were all in order. He stated that the Findings of Fact should be all set to approve unless there are some changes that need to be made. He said that everything should be in order for an approval on this project.

Chairman Aleva asked the applicant to come forward to discuss the project. Andy Morrell from BH2M was present to represent the applicant. He said that the last time they had talked about the locating the trees that were 24". They provided a letter and a picture that shows that there are no trees on the proposed outsale lot. As Lee Jay mentioned earlier, they did find that another waiver was required on open space and recreational areas and they are requesting this waiver.

Chairman Aleva asked the Board if they had any questions and there were none. Chairman Aleva stated that they needed to motion to approve the waivers.

Jon Morse motioned that they waive the 24" tree requirement because there are none for the Joel & Katy Littlefield application (Map 005 Lot 039). Scott Strynar seconded the motion. VOTE: 5-0

Chairman Aleva asked Lee Jay Feldman to explain the 2nd waiver. Lee Jay stated that under Article 10 Section 10.2 which states that any subdivision plan with 15 lots or 30 acres is required to have land for recreational purposes or open space or pay a fee in lieu of. This project meets the acreage amount, but the applicant is reducing lots from 2 to 1 by the relocation of the lot lines in the outsale parcel. He said that they wanted to make sure they cover that law by requesting a waiver. Anne Whitten asked why they were wanted to waiver it? Lee Jay stated that it should be waivered because this is an amendment to a subdivision and the standard is in the subdivision law. They just want to make sure that the waiver is covered so no one can come back and question it. Anne Whitten asked if they had paid for it the first time around. Lee Jay said he did not know because he wasn't involved the last time. He sees it as a waiver being granted this time simply because there is no impact being created that would require that. He stated that they are actually reducing it by 1 lot.

Jon Morse motioned to waive Article 10 Section 10.2 Protection of Open Spaces and Natural or Historic Features and Provision of Recreation Areas and Facilities for the Littlefield subdivision (Map 005 Lot 039) located at 722 Lebanon Road. Scott Strynar seconded the motion. VOTE: 4-0 Abstain: 1

Chairman Aleva stated that they needed to review and approve the Findings of Fact. He read the Conditions of Approval:

- 1. The Plan be revised to reflect all waivers granted by the Planning Board.
- 2. Plan approval is conditioned upon compliance by the applicant with the plans and specifications that have been received by the Planning Board in conjunction with the development proposal as well as any oral statements made by the applicant in the course of the deliberations.

Anne Whitten motioned to approve the Findings of Fact with the conditions listed above. David Ballard seconded the motion. VOTE: 5-0

Jon Morse motioned to approve the request for Joel & Katy Littlefield for an amendment to existing subdivision by combining Map 005 Lot 039 and Map 005 Lot 039-2 together and to create an outside sale lot. Scott Strynar seconded the motion. VOTE: 5-0

4. Other Business:

Anne Whitten stated that it is hard to look up when an applicant was originally seen by just looking up the minutes. She is requesting that we add the projects that are on the Agenda for the night. They requested that the first page of the minutes should be the Agenda.

Chairman Aleva stated that this is Matthew Qualls last meeting. Matthew stated that he is looking to get involved in other aspects and organizations within the town.

Roger Frechette Planning Coordinator

Respectively submitted, Susan Niehoff, Stenographer

Chairman Geoffrey Aleva	Approved at January 14, 2021 ZOOM Meeting
Jon Morse	Approved at January 14, 2021 ZOOM Meeting
Anne Whitten	Approved at January 14, 2021 ZOOM Meeting
Matthew Qualls	
David Ballard	Approved at January 14, 2021 ZOOM Meeting
Scott Strynar	Approved at January 14, 2021 ZOOM Meeting
Mark Cahoon	

Mark Cahoon

Planning Board AGENDA

Public Hearing/ Public Meeting

VIA Zoom Meeting # 813 6349 7451 December 10, 2020 6:30 PM

- 1. Call To Order
- 2. Review Previous Minutes
- 3. Current Business:
 - **3.1** Continued Review

Gold Mark LLC & ISM Solar Quarry, LLC 486 High Street (Map 004 Lots 89 & 92)

Continued Review: The Applicant is proposing an approximately 7-megawatt (MW) DC, photovoltaic solar energy generation facility to be sited at 486 High Street. The Project is anticipated to occupy approximately 46 acres of this land, and will include ground mounted photovoltaic panels, access roads, small electrical equipment pads, and fencing. The property is identified as Lot 89 & Lot 92 on the Town of North Berwick Assessor's Map 4.

3.2 Country Estates Mobile Home Park
High Street
(Map 04 Lots 047-22, 047-23 and 047-24)
Request: Applicant is proposing a lot line adjustment on the lots to allow a more desirable placement of structures on the lots. No street or exterior lot lines are being revised.

- 4. Other Business
- 5. Adjournment

Due to the current COVID-19 pandemic, the Planning Board is requesting all concerns and comments be placed in writing and either sent by email to the Code Enforcement Officer's email address: rfrechette@townofnorthberwick,org or by US mail:

Planning Board P.O. Box 422 North Berwick, ME 03906

All written comments, concerns or comments will be read into the record by the Planning Board Chair during the meeting.